

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/766,733 01/27/2004 Katrina A. Mikhaylich LAM1P108D 3470 7590 12/15/2004 EXAMINER Michael L. Gencarella, Esq. MARKOFF, ALEXANDER Martine & Penilla, LLP. Suite 170 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 710 Lakeway Drive 1746 Sunnyvale, CA 94085

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/766,733	MIKHAYLICH ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Alexander Markoff	1746	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any				
Status				
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja	anuary 2004		
		action is non-final.		
3)				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.				
./23	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
1	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.			
J	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 January 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* S	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
The second of th				
Attachment	(s)			
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary (I	PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date.				
3) L Inform	ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	5) L Notice of Informal Pa	tent Application (PTO-152)	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office				
PTOL-326 (Re	v. 1-04) Office Action	on Summary Pa	art of Paper No./Mail Date 121104	

Art Unit: 1746

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-6, 9, 10, 12, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 97/13590.

WO 97/13590 teaches a method as claimed. See entire document, especially Figs. 1-3 and pages 5-10.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

•

Application/Control Number: 10/766,733

Art Unit: 1746

consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 97/13590 in view of Krussel et al (US Patent No 5,723,019).

WO 97/13590 teaches the claimed method except for specifically claimed pressures and water delivery times.

Krussel et al teach ( see et least column 5, line 63 – column 6, line 58 and column 4, lines 24-34) that such parameters were conventional in wafer cleaning utilizing the same equipment (DSS-200<sup>TM</sup> of OnTrak Systems, Inc.) as WO 97/13590.

It would have been obvious to an ordinary artisan at the time the invention was made to employ the conventional for the art operation parameters in the method of WO 97/13590 with reasonable expectation of adequate results.

7. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 97/13590 in view of Itzkowitz (US Patent NO 5,675,856).

Application/Control Number: 10/766,733

Art Unit: 1746

WO 97/13590 teaches the claimed method except for the specifically claimed rotation speed.

However, Itzkowitz teaches that such rotation speed was conventional for wafer cleaning in a double brush box.

It would have been obvious to an ordinary artisan at the time the invention was made to rotate wafers in the method of WO 97/13590 with a speed conventional for such processes with reasonable expectation of success.

### **Double Patenting**

8. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

9. Claims 1-4, 11-13 and 15 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. 6,093,254. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the patent disclosed all the limitations of the instant claimes.

Application/Control Number: 10/766,733 Page 5

Art Unit: 1746

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US Patents No 5,693,148, 5,858,109, 5,806,126 and 6,711,775 are cited to show the state of the prior art with respect to the wafer cleaning methods utilizing brushes.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Markoff whose telephone number is 571-272-1304. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on 571-272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alexander Markoff Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

AM

ALEXANDER MARKOFF PRIMARY EXAMINER